



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Atty to suggest Examiner's Amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance. Examiner proposed integrating the limitations of claim 2 into each independent claim. Atty suggested integrating only a portion of claim 2's limitations into the independent claims, excluding the call announce feature. Examiner did not believe this would bring the claims into condition for allowance. Examiner notified Atty that the previous rejection would be maintained until a resolution of allowable matter is agreed upon. Examiner found that the teachings of Shaffer et al could still teach the newly amended portion of the claim 2, in that Shaffer et al's teaching of configuring IP devices also configures the server, since it is the server that employs the user's profile for enabling the selection of the configuration options. Hence, the server is configured to enable specific IP telephony services for the user and to communicate this services to the user's IP device (col.4 lines 1-28).